

Peer Review Policy

Achievers International Campus Global Conference for Multidisciplinary Research 2024 (GCMR)

1. Purpose of Peer Review

The peer review process ensures the academic quality, rigor, and integrity of the submissions presented at the Achievers International Campus Global Conference for Multidisciplinary Research (GCMR) 2024. All submissions will be subjected to an unbiased, thorough, and constructive review process.

2. Review Process

2.1. Initial Screening

All submissions undergo an initial screening by the editorial team to ensure adherence to the conference's scope, format, and submission guidelines.

Submissions that fail to meet basic requirements or exhibit obvious ethical violations (e.g., plagiarism) will not proceed to the peer review stage.

2.2. Double-Blind Review

GCMR employs a double-blind peer review process to ensure impartiality and confidentiality.

Reviewers do not know the identity of the authors.

Authors do not know the identity of the reviewers.

2.3. Assignment of Reviewers

Each submission is assigned to at least two independent reviewers with expertise in the relevant field.

Reviewers are selected based on their academic credentials, research experience, and familiarity with the subject matter.



2.4. Evaluation Criteria

Reviewers evaluate submissions based on the following criteria:

- Originality and novelty of the research.
- Relevance to the conference themes.
- Clarity of objectives and research questions.
- Methodological rigor and validity of results.
- Contribution to existing knowledge and practical implications.
- Presentation quality, including organization, language, and formatting.

2.5. Reviewer Recommendations

- Reviewers may provide one of the following recommendations:
- Accept without revisions.
- Accept with minor revisions.
- Revise and resubmit (major revisions required).
- Reject.

3. Responsibilities of Reviewers

Provide objective, fair, and constructive feedback.

Identify any ethical concerns, including potential plagiarism or conflicts of interest.

Complete the review within the specified timeframe to ensure timely decisions.

Maintain confidentiality of the manuscript and the review process.

4. Editorial Decisions

The final decision on each submission is made by the editorial team based on reviewers' evaluations and recommendations.

Decisions are communicated to authors along with detailed feedback to guide revisions if necessary.



5. Conflict of Interest

Reviewers and editors must disclose any conflicts of interest that could influence their judgment.

Manuscripts involving conflicts of interest will be reassigned to avoid bias.

6. Appeals and Revisions

Authors may appeal decisions by providing a detailed justification and evidence supporting their position.

Appeals will be reviewed by a separate panel of reviewers.

Revised submissions undergo further review to ensure all concerns have been adequately addressed.

7. Acknowledgment of Reviewers

The contributions of reviewers will be acknowledged in the conference proceedings without compromising the confidentiality of the review process.