

Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Achievers International Campus Global Conference for Multidisciplinary Research 2024 (GCMR)

1. Introduction

The Achievers International Campus Global Conference for Multidisciplinary Research (GCMR) 2024 is committed to maintaining the highest standards of publication ethics and takes all possible measures to address any form of malpractice. All participants, including authors, reviewers, editors, and organizers, are expected to adhere to ethical guidelines to ensure the integrity and quality of the conference proceedings.

2. Duties of Authors

2.1. Originality and Plagiarism

- Authors must ensure that their submissions are original works and have not been published elsewhere in any form.
- Proper acknowledgment and citation must be given for any work or words borrowed from other sources.
- Plagiarism in any form, including self-plagiarism, is strictly prohibited.

2.2. Data Accuracy and Integrity

- Authors are responsible for the accuracy and authenticity of their research data.
- Fabrication, falsification, or manipulation of data is considered unethical and unacceptable.

2.3. Authorship

- All contributors who have significantly participated in the research should be listed as co-authors.
- Any individual who does not meet the criteria for authorship should not be included as an author.
- Authors must ensure that all co-authors have approved the final manuscript and consented to its submission.



2.4. Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

- Authors must disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could influence the interpretation of their research.
- All sources of financial support for the project must be disclosed.

2.5. Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Submissions

• Authors must not submit the same manuscript to multiple publications or conferences simultaneously.

3. Duties of Reviewers

3.1. Confidentiality

- Reviewers must treat all manuscripts received for review as confidential documents.
- They must not disclose any information about the manuscript to anyone other than the designated editorial staff.

3.2. Objectivity and Fairness

- Reviews must be conducted objectively and without personal bias.
- Reviewers should provide constructive feedback and avoid any form of hostility or derogatory comments.

3.3. Acknowledgment of Sources

- Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors.
- Any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under review and any other published work should be reported to the editors.

3.4. Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

• Reviewers must not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest due to competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with any of the authors, companies, or institutions involved.



4. Duties of Editors

4.1. Publication Decisions

• The editors are responsible for making decisions on which submitted articles should be published based on the quality, originality, and relevance to the conference.

4.2. Fair Play

• Manuscripts will be evaluated solely on their academic merit without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy.

4.3. Confidentiality

• The editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, and other editorial advisers.

4.4. Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

• Editors must not use unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript for their own research without the author's explicit written consent.

5. Ethical Oversight

- The conference organizers reserve the right to address any ethical concerns or allegations of misconduct brought to their attention.
- All parties involved are expected to cooperate in the investigation and resolution of such cases.

6. Handling of Complaints and Appeals

- Complaints regarding ethical breaches or conflicts of interest should be addressed to the conference ethics committee.
- Appeals regarding editorial decisions will be reviewed fairly and transparently.



7. Acknowledgment of Guidelines

• This statement is based on internationally recognized standards for publication ethics, including guidelines from the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).